- Hue with Hille	
Assembly Hearing Slip	1 Jemin Welchen
(Please print plainly)	Assembly Hearing Slip
Date: 3/22/00	(Please print plainly)
BIII No. 48-853	marchi
Or Subject	BIII No. & HSSembly & CO. Subject
Cores Mudeehum	Sm. ned Disser
Name / S.2 Beck St.	(Name) 220 SMA, Carry
Street Address or Route Number	(Street Address or Route Number)
City and Zip Code	(Clty & Zip Code)
Sq. 1455mlls 144	(Representing)
	Speaking In favor:
Speaking in favor:	Speaking against:
Speaking against:	Registering In favor:
Benistering in favor:	Registering against:
	Speaking for <i>information only;</i> Neither for nor against:
Hegistering against:	Please return this slip to a messenger pr
Speaking for information only: Neither for nor against:	Assembly Sergeant at Arms Room 411 West
	State Capitol Madison, WT 53702
Please promptly return this slip to the	•
messenger at the committee.	

Assembly Hearing Slip

(Please print plainly)

Date: 3/22/00	
BIII 10. 718 853	
Subject Cultural Arts District	frict
Mayor Sue Bauman	
urtin L	Tr 8
Street Address or Route Number	8 .
City and Zip Code Madison	
Banracanting	

B

Speaking in favor:

Speaking against:

Registering in favor:

Registering against:

slip to a messenger promptly.

Speaking for information only:
Neither for nor against: Neither for nor against: Please promptly return this slip to the messenger at the committee.

Provided by:
Assembly Sergeant at Arms
411 West, State Capitol
Madison, WI 53708

Provided by: Assembly Sergeant at Arms 411 West, State Capitol Madison, WI 53708

Assembly Hearing Slip (Please print plainly) Be: A A A ING. AB SS	Cark Lillon 23 Reigna Torrace Mana Tip Code Effective	Speaking in favor: Speaking against; Registering in favor: Registering against:	Please promptly return this slip to the messenger at the committee. Provided by: Assembly Sergeant at Arms 411 West, State Capital Madison, WI 53706
Assemt Date: (P)	Straet Address or Ro Street Address or Ro Straet Address or Ro Straet Address or Ro Street Address or Ro Street Address or Ro		Please pl

Speaking against:

Speaking in favor:

0

Registering in favor:

0

Registering against:

Assembly Hearing Slip

(Please print plainly)

3-11-00

BIII No. _AB 853

Or Subject Please promptly return this slip to the messenger at the committee.

Speaking for information only.

Neither for nor against:

Assembly Committee on Ways and Means

	c	ommitte	e Memb	er		Ave	No	Absent	
☐ Re	jection								
Add	option				Nonc	oncurren	ce		
☐ Int	troduction				Conc	urrence			
⋈ Pas	ssage				Tabl	ing			
Be rec	commended for	: ·			Inde	finite P	ostponemen	ıt	
A/S Am	ndt	- .	to A/S	Amdt		_ to A/S	Sub Amdt_		
	ndt			Sub Amdt_					
	ıb Amdt								
	ndt		to A/S	Amdt					
	ndt	D1\		OCII	.er				
		SJR	<u></u>	Oth					
AB				Cle	aring	ghouse Ru	ıle		
DATE Moved		T		Sec			Ains		

	Committee Member	Aye	No	Absent	Not voting
1.	Rep. Mickey Lehman, chair				
2.	Rep. Tom Sykora, vice-chair	2			
3.	Rep. Bob Goetsch	3			
4.	Rep. Mike Huebsch	4			
5.	Rep. Frank Lasee	5			
6.	Rep. John Ainsworth	6			
7.	Rep. Suzanne Jeskewitz	7			
8.	Rep. Carol Owens	8			
9.	Rep. Joan Spillner	9			
10.	Rep. Wayne Wood	10			
11.	Rep. John La Fave	LI			
12.	Rep. Lee Meyerhofer	12			
13.	Rep. Johnie Morris-Tatum	13			
14.	Rep. Jeffrey Plale	14			
15.	Rep. Bob Turner				
16.	Rep. Bob Ziegelbauer	15			
			,		
	Totals				

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED

s:\comclerk\rollcall.1

SB 409 Yes
AB 853 Yes
AB 894 Yes
AB 726 Yes
AB 860 Yes
Joan Spillner
Votes

SB 442 AND AB 853 SUMMARY CULTURAL ARTS DISTRICT

OVERVIEW

SB 442 and AB 853 grant to cities, with populations greater than 150,000, the authority to create a local cultural arts district. The district would be created pursuant to specified actions taken by a city's mayor and its common council. A cultural arts district would be a local unit of government separate and distinct from both the sponsoring city and the state.

A cultural arts district would be governed by a 13-member board of directors. Its members would serve 4-year terms and be required to live within 25 miles of the city. The mayor of the sponsoring city, the county executive of the county within which the sponsoring city is located, and the governor would be ex-officio board members. In addition, the mayor would appoint six board members, the governor would appoint three members, and the county executive would appoint one.

PURPOSE

This legislation is sought by the City of Madison and the Overture Foundation. The Overture Foundation is a private, non-profit organization which was created in 1996, with the primary purpose of supporting the arts and culture in Madison and Dane County. It allows the city to create a public entity that will own and operate a regional arts facility in downtown Madison, made possible by a private donation of approximately \$100 million. Passage of the proposed legislation in this session is necessary to keep the project on schedule.

This governance structure accomplishes a number of important goals. It enhances prospects for the project's operating stability and long term success. In recognition of the fact that the cultural arts facilities will produce regional benefits, it provides for regional representation on the governing board. It establishes that the cultural arts district, and not taxpayers, will be responsible for fiscal and operating liabilities associated with the facilities. It provides for and maintains a level of public accountability and input to the operation of these facilities that would not exist if they were privately managed. It provides the mechanism necessary to convey federal tax benefits associated with the gift which was made for the project.

POWERS GRANTED TO A CULTURAL ARTS DISTRICT

A cultural arts district would have powers necessary to carry out the acquisition, construction, and operation of cultural arts facilities. These would include the power to acquire real property, including by condemnation; to sue and be sued; to construct, equip, maintain, improve, manage, and operate cultural arts facilities; to employ personnel; to enter into contracts; and to collect fees with respect to use of cultural arts facilities.

CONDEMNATION AUTHORITY

The granting of condemnation authority is needed to satisfy federal tax code requirements. Its presence preserves the favorable tax treatment afforded the current donation and any future donations under federal tax law. In doing so, it establishes the framework for a governance structure that limits public exposure to liability associated with operating cultural arts facilities while providing a far higher level of public accountability.

A cultural arts district would have the authority to acquire property by condemnation. This authority, however, is confined only to the specific geographic area prescribed by the sponsoring city in its authorizing resolution. The city, if it chooses, could modify this geographic area in a subsequent resolution. Condemnation authority is not available in a first class city.

TAX EXEMPTIONS

The cultural arts district will receive tax advantages associated with its status as a local government entity. Property of a cultural arts district would be exempt from property taxes. This exemption does not apply, however, to property used for a for-profit restaurant or retail business that is not part of the physical structure of the cultural arts district. The exemption also does not apply to parking facilities that are not used to support the operation of a cultural arts district. The sponsoring city is authorized to collect a payment in lieu of property taxes from the district.

The income of a cultural arts district is exempt from state income taxes and the income and interest from the district's obligations are exempt from state and federal income taxes. Goods and services purchased by a cultural arts district are exempt from the state sales tax.

STATE ADMINISTRATIVE AND EMPLOYMENT PROVISIONS

Cultural arts districts would be subject to open meetings and public records requirements of state law.

Cultural arts districts would be subject to state fair employment laws, federal wage and hour labor relation laws, and municipal equal employment opportunity ordinances.

Cultural arts districts would be subject to audit by the Legislative Audit Bureau and by the sponsoring city.

Jim O'Keefe City Lobbyist

City of Madison



Office of the Mayor
City-County Building, Room 403
210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard
Madison, Wisconsin 53709
608 266 4611 PH 608 267 8671 FAX 608 266 4443 TDD

E-mail: jokeefe@ci.madison.wi.us



BILL SUMMARY

AB 853 Creation of Cultural Art District

Date: March 28th, 2000

BACKGROUND

The bill creates provisions in state statutes relating to authorizing the creation of a local cultural arts district.

SUMMARY OF AB 853

Under AB 853, cities with a population in excess of 150,000, are granted the authority to create a local cultural arts district. The arts district would be a political sub-division governed by a 13-member board of directors consisting of appointees made by the Mayor of the city, the Governor, and the County Executive.

Under the Internal Revenue Code, to qualify as a political sub-division, a district must have one of the three following powers:

- 1) Police Power
- 2) Power of Taxation
- 3) Power of Condemnation

It was felt that the power of condemnation was the most appropriate for the arts district. However, this power of condemnation can only be exercised within the arts district's boundaries.

Other powers granted to the cultural arts district include the ability to carry out the acquisition, construction, and operation of cultural arts facilities. These would include the power to acquire real property; to sue and be sued; to construct, equip, maintain, improve, manage, and operate cultural arts facilities; to employ personnel; to enter into contracts; and to collect fees with respect to use of cultural arts facilities.

As a political sub-division, the cultural arts district will have certain tax exemptions. Property within the cultural arts district would be exempt from property taxes. The city is authorized to collect a payment in lieu of property taxes from the district, but other taxing jurisdictions would not be authorized to do so. Also, the cultural arts district's income would be exempt from state income taxes and the income and interest from the district's obligations are exempt from state and federal income taxes. Goods and services purchased by the district would be exempt from the state sales tax.

Property acquired by the cultural arts district that is outside the defined area of the cultural arts district would be exempt from property taxes provided the property serves to further the purpose of the cultural arts district. For example, if property outside the district was acquired by the cultural arts district and was utilized in an entrepreneurial method like a restaurant, that property would <u>NOT</u> be exempt from property taxes. If that same property were used to further the arts district's purpose, such as for staff office space, the property would be exempt from property taxes.

Under AB 853, the cultural arts district would be subject to open meetings and public records requirements of state law. The district would be subject to state fair employment law, federal wage and hour labor relation laws, and municipal equal employment opportunity ordinances. The district would also be subject to audit by the Legislative Audit Bureau and by the sponsoring city.

AMENDMENTS

None.

FISCAL EFFECT

A fiscal estimate prepared by the Department of Revenue does not provide a concrete number for the revenue loss the state would experience under this proposal. This loss of revenue is due to the various tax exemptions that the cultural arts district would receive. Bonds issued by the cultural arts district would also be tax-exempt.

PROS

This legislation would enable the establishment of a cultural arts district in the city of Madison that would be able to utilize the \$100 million gift the city received.

CONS

There would be a revenue loss to the state as a result of the tax exemptions.

SUPPORTERS

Rep. Gregg Underheim, author; Sen. Fred Risser, lead co-sponsor; City of Madison; Overture Foundation.

OPPOSITION

None.

HISTORY

Assembly Bill 853 was introduced on March 8, 2000, and referred to the Joint Survey Committee on Tax Exemptions. A public hearing was held on March 16, 2000. On March 16, 2000, the Committee voted 9-0 to adopt the report of AB 853. On March 21, 2000, the Committee reported AB 853. On March 21, 2000, AB 853 was referred to the Assembly Committee on Ways & Means. On March 22, 2000, the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means voted 15-0-1 [Rep. Turner absent] to recommend passage of AB 853.

CONTACT: Andrew Nowlan, Office of Rep. Michael Lehman

Public oversight

1909 city 5283 total

Political sub-division Condemnation is the qualifier for PS.D under IRC

Not relevant for Doty's & Miller's Market

City of Madison has dir CDA to

acquire thes props. Condenn if nutual

proce cannot be agreed upon.

It is not enticipated that priventerprises will be lorded w/in dist. Payment in lieu of taxes. (If dist leases space to private ext).

If district acquires propoutside of block

365 it is not exempt (where it is for use by the district for its cultural purpose).

Would be off the hook for prop taxes.

Would make payments to city.

Feorge Austin mentioned space that would be rested out to generate revenue. What groups are they experting to rent this space? How much space will be rested? How much of the space on block 65 that they are anticipating renting is Currently occupied by private enterprises?

Loss of 150k in tax revenue

For Sykora
Bob Gottsch

Tax exemption for

Deb d Lolas

\$5283 total assessment
\$1909 is city portion